UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
10/551,972	10/05/2005	Yoshimi Enomoto	JP 03005	3602	
	7590 04/29/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 3001		LEWIS, JONATHAN V			
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2623			
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			04/29/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		1	Application N	plication No. Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/551,972		ENOMOTO, YOSHIMI			
			Examiner		Art Unit			
			JONATHAN LE	EWIS	2623			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur or Reply	nication appea	ars on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN THE INSIDE OF	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, ca	TE OF THIS ((a). In no event, ho apply and will expi ause the application	COMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be tin re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·		
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>05 Octo</i>	ober 2005					
'=	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
′=		<i>'—</i>			secution as to the	e merits is		
٠,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u> </u>							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or e	election requi	ement.				
	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the	o Evaminor						
•			a)M accepted	d or h)□ objected	to by the Evamir	ner		
10)[10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 October 2005</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4) [5) [6) [Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The USPTO "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" (Official Gazette notice of 22 November 2005), Annex IV, reads as follows:

Descriptive material can be characterized as either "functional descriptive material" or "nonfunctional descriptive material." In this context, "functional descriptive material" consists of data structures and computer programs which impart functionality when employed as a computer component. (The definition of "data structure" is "a physical or logical relationship among data elements, designed to support specific data manipulation functions." The New IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronics Terms 308 (5th ed. 1993).) "Nonfunctional descriptive material" includes but is not limited to music, literary works and a compilation or mere arrangement of data.

When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. Compare In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (claim to data structure stored on a computer readable medium that increases computer efficiency held statutory) and Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1360-61, 31 USPQ2d at 1759 (claim to computer having a specific data structure stored in memory held statutory product-by-process claim) with Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory).

In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. See Lowry, 32 F.3d at 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d at 1035.

Claim(s) 5 is rejected under 35 U. S. C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as follows. Claim 5 defines a signal processing program embodying functional descriptive material. However, the claim does not define a computer readable medium or memory and is thus non-statutory for that reason (i.e., "When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to

Art Unit: 2623

be realized" - Guidelines Annex IV). That is, the scope of the presently claimed signal processing program can range from paper on which the program is written, to a program simply contemplated and memorized by a person.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamada et al. (US Pat. No. 7,254,312).

Regarding claim 1 (currently amended), Yamada et al. teaches a data recording/reproducing device provided with a processor for receiving data including video data (Fig. 2 shows the recording device with transport processor 25 to receive data), first additional information to control a copy of the video data and second additional information to control a type of recording and/or reproducing the video data and for processing the received data (col. 13, lines 59-65), the processor having a recording portion capable of carrying out recording in a permanent recording mode for permanently recording the received video data or in a temporary recording mode for temporarily recording the received video data (Fig. 8), characterized in that said processor comprises: means for determining whether the video data is to be recorded with changing from the permanent recording mode to the temporary recording mode, without recording being performed in the permanent recording mode, when never copy

information is received as the first additional information and information of the permanent recording mode is received as the second additional information (Fig. 7; Fig. 8); and means for instructing to record the video data on the recording portion in the temporary recording mode when it is determined by said determining means to record the video data with changing to the temporary recording mode (Fig. 8; col. 14, lines 12-14)).

Regarding claim 3 (currently amended), Yamada et al. teaches the data recording/reproducing device as claimed in claim 1, characterized in that said processor has an ability to perform recording and reproducing at the same time (Fig. 17), and further comprises means for instructing to start reproducing the video data temporarily recorded on the recording portion before the end of recording in the temporary recording mode when the change from the permanent recording mode to the temporary recording mode is made and means for erasing the video data in the recording portion immediately after information about stop of storing in the temporary recording mode is received (Fig. 13).

Method and program claims 4 and 5 are rejected for the same reasons as stated in the corresponding device claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada et al. (US Pat. No. 7,254,312) in view of Ryan (US Pat. No. 5,574,787).

Regarding claim 2 (currently amended), Yamada et al. teaches all the claim limitations as stated above, except that the video data is analog data.

However, Ryan teaches that the video data is analog data (abstract; col. 4, lines 40-58).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to use, to have video data that was analog, in order to ensure an easy transition from analog to digital when mixing newer digital technologies, such as DVDs with older ones, such as VCRs.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

a. Matsushita US Pat. No. 6,694,022

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JONATHAN LEWIS whose telephone number is (571)270-3233. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 7:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Pendleton can be reached on (571) 272-7527. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,972 Page 6

Art Unit: 2623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Brian T. Pendleton/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2623